

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/752,836 01/03/2001		Bunji Inagaki	0165-279	9926	
75	90 01/24/2002		•		
Thomas W. Cole			EXAMINER		
Nixon Peabody LLP Suite 800			ROBINSON, MARK A		
8180 Greensbor McLean, VA 2	* = -:		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Medali, VII 22.02			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,- r		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/752,83	6	INAGAKI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mark A. Ro		2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 I	November 2	<u>2001</u> .					
2a) ☐	•	is action is						
3)								
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to: 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1</u>	! .		y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P				

Application/Control Number: 09/752,836

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group II in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there would be no additional burden on the examiner to examine both groups and that the claimed product cannot be made by another and materially different process.

In response, it should be noted that examination of an application is not constituted solely by the search. Thus, applicant's statement that different groups classified together can be examined without additional burden is not a reasonable basis for traversing the requirement.

However, applicant's assertions in the response stating that the method cannot produce a different product or that the claimed product cannot be made by a materially different process are noted, and the restriction requirement is accordingly withdrawn.

Claims 1-20 remain pending in the application and will be examined on the merits as follows.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/752,836

Art Unit: 2872

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-7,10,11,15 and 18 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Polzer made of record.

Polzer shows in fig. 2 a vehicle mirror assembly including mirror(5), visor cover(7) and visor rim(4) mounted on opposite sides of bracket(3), adjustment unit(8), and fastener structure/elements(34,35,etc.) provided at the visor cover and bracket.

The method limitations of claims 7,10,11,15 and 18 are inherent in the structure of Polzer's mirror device. Also note claim 5 of Polzer which implies that the mirror is mounted to the bracket after the visor rim is mounted but before the visor cover is mounted.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,836 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8,9,12-14,16,17,19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Polzer made of record.

Polzer does not explicitly teach use of a bracket jig to assist in mounting the components such as the visor rim.

However, mounting jigs are commonly used in assembling vehicle mirror devices. Also note that disposing the bracket horizontally or repositioning the bracket to face in the opposite direction as found in claims 9,13,14,17 and 20 would commonly occur during the manufacturing process, i.e. orienting the bracket in various ways when handling or assembling the device. It would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan at the time of invention to use such a jig in the claimed manner with Polzer's apparatus in order to aid the worker in efficiently manufacturing the mirror device.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,836 Page 5

Art Unit: 2872

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lang et al and Usami show mirror devices with brackets, visor covers, etc.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 305-3506.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can be reached at (703) 308-1687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Mark Robinson

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2872

1/16/02